

Review

Journal of Food Safety and Hygiene

Journal homepage: http://jfsh.tums.ac.ir

Characterization, application and action mechanism of bioactive peptides (BAPs) derived from microbes, plants and recombinant proteins against food borne pathogens; a review

Milad Nabgan^{1*}, Nabi Shariatifar^{1,2}

1Department of Environmental Health Engineering, Food Safety Division, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. 2Drug Design and Development Research Center, The Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences (TIPS), Tehran University

of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Citation: Nabgan M, Shariatifar N. Characterization, application and action mechanism of bioactive peptides (BAPs) derived from microbes, plants and recombinant proteins against food borne pathogens; a review. J Food Safe & Hyg 2024; 10 (2): 91-108 https://doi.org/10.18502/jfsh.v10i2.17683

1. Introduction

The health benefits of bioactive peptides and their

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +982142933072 E-mail address: miladnabgan.research@gmail.com potential application as functional foods and nutraceuticals have been extensively researched (1).

Copyright © 2024 Tehran University of Medical Sciences. Published by Tehran University of Medical Sciences. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 International license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.

Emerging antibiotic resistance presents significant challenges to the economy, animal populations, and human health. Therefore, it is vital to find a suitable

alternative to antibiotics that can be less harmful and more effective in addressing various foodborne and other diseases (2).

Therefore, these compounds can be incorporated into food as safe food supplements, as they exhibit less bacterial resistance compared to antibiotics (3).

Microbes, plants, and animal tissues can produce BAPs, which may also arise from microbial enzymes and food processing. Key sources include flaxseed, peas, cauliflower, eggs, soy products, cheese, yogurt, sausage, and milk (4). Currently, chemical or recombinant versions of several classes of BAPs are sold (5).

Many plant-derived BAPs, such as glycine-rich peptides and thionins, occur in stems, roots, seeds, flowers, and leaves. These BAPs are active against harmful bacteria and phyto-pathogens (6). Along with a wide range of other physiologically active peptides, microbes also produce gramicidin, endolysins, apicidin, nisin, propionicin, and enterocin. Bacteria, fungi, and viruses are the sources of these peptides (7). Peptides regulate many vital processes, particularly hormones. They promote health by reducing cardiovascular risks, modulating immunity, lowering blood pressure, binding minerals, chelating metals, and exhibiting antimicrobial, anticoagulant, and antioxidant properties. They also impact food's nutritional value and inhibit disease-promoting enzymes (8). Certain BAPs directly extend the shelf life of prepared foods, which makes them highly soughtafter in this industry. Additionally, their antimicrobial activity shields mammals from a variety of foodborne

pathogens (9). BAP functions as an antimicrobial peptide by acting on specific intracellular targets, disrupt membrane integrity, impede DNA and RNA synthesis, and kill pathogens through a range of mechanisms (10). Furthermore, the regulation of gut microbiota is one of the benefits of consuming BAP (11). Foodborne pathogens represent a serious threat to human health and food safety. They are responsible for a number of serious illnesses that affect people. Furthermore, a lot of these foodborne bacteria are constantly becoming resistant to antibiotics (12). To safeguard health and food safety, It is essential to develop safe and effective antimicrobial agents against foodborne pathogens. Bioactive peptides can target various pathogens, including hepatitis. This review focuses on bioactive peptides from microbes and plants, as well as recombinant peptides and their future applications.

2. An overview of various BAPs

2.1. Plant-based BAPs

Bioactive peptides can be obtained from protein sources found in plants, such as beans, seeds, grains, legumes, and leftover olive oil, in addition to milk and meat. Because plant proteins have a lower carbon footprint than animal proteins, they are essential (13). The usage of plant-based proteins in food products is limited due to their low protein content and absence of essential amino acids. However, several methods of modification—chemical, physical, and enzymatic enhance their efficacy, turning them into multipurpose ingredients in food systems (14).Peptides are more readily absorbed by the small intestine epithelium than intact proteins, which means that while both can have bioactivity, peptides have been shown to have a higher potential for in-vivo bioactivity (15). In nutritional and therapeutic applications, plant proteins are increasingly being used in place of animal proteins as an alternative to animal proteins (16).

2.2. Animal peptide

Animal proteins have physiological effects and act as substrates for enzymatic hydrolysis when food is processed and consumed (17). Animal proteins contain peptide sequences that link short chains of two to twenty amino acids to form animal bioactive peptides, which have a variety of physiological effects on the body (18,19). 32 of the most effective milk proteins were created from low-molecular-weight antimicrobial peptides (20). Bioactive peptides can be produced during food processing by digestive and microorganism-derived enzymes (17). Studies have demonstrated the efficacy of peptides derived from edible insects (21), Proteins found in cow's milk (22), and fermented probiotic drinks made with whey (23) in the fight against pathogens like C. albicans, S. typhi, B. cereus, E. coli, S. aureus, and Shigella dysenteriae. Meat, fish, milk, and dairy products are among the foods that contain animal peptides, which have antithrombotic, antihypertensive, antioxidant, antimicrobial, and antiproliferative qualities (24). Examples include calcitonin gene-related peptide and parathyroid hormone, which both considerably contribute to bone health. They play critical roles in preserving cell integrity, tissue regeneration, and bone healing (19). The importance of animal bioactive peptides in promoting general health and well-being is being highlighted by the growing amount of research being done on their possible therapeutic benefits in treating conditions like inflammation, hypertension, and microbial infections (25). Therefore, antimicrobial activity against a wide range of foodborne pathogens by animal-derived bioactive peptides is promising. 2.3. BAPs derived from Microbes

Bacteria, fungi, and viruses produce microbe-derived BAPs, which are classified based on their sources and properties. Viral BAPs include phage proteins like holins, lysins, and peptidoglycan hydrolases, with two main types: phage-encoded lytic factors and phage-tail complexes (26). Two kinds of fungal BAPs are defensins and peptaibol. The term 'peptaibol' refers to compounds consisting of amino alcohols, peptides, and α-amino isobutyrate (27). Defensins consist of amino acids that are connected by disulfide bonds, they are actually the immune response of fungi to survive and compete with other microorganisms (28).

2.4. Gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria: Both bacteria can produce bacterial BAPs bacterial BAPs. Bacteriocins can be categorized into ribosomally produced BAPs and nonribosomally or enzymatically produced BAPs (29). Lantibiotics and non lantibiotics are the two categories of bacteriocins; lantibiotics include the artificial amino acid lanthionine (68). Colicins, microcins, colicin-like bacteriocins, and phage-tail-like bacteriocins are the different categories of gram-negative bacterial bacteriocins (30).

2.5. Fermentation-derived BAPs: Gram-positive lactic acid bacteria (LAB), especially Lactiplantibacillus plantarum, produce bioactive compounds like shortchain peptides and fatty acids. They are vital in the food industry, providing bio protective effects against pathogens (31). Studies indicate that L. plantarum can inhibit foodborne pathogens like S. aureus and E. coli, enhanced by bacteriocin activity from its supernatants. (32). L. Furthermore, when fermented, L. plantarum in

camel milk demonstrated antimicrobial effects against various pathogens (33). Lactobacillus casei ATCC 334 also showed potent antibacterial activity against multiple microbes when producing BAPs (34). Additionally, Bifidobacterium lactis BB-12 and Lactobacillus acidophilus LA-5 exhibit antibacterial properties in milk formulations against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria (35). Saccharomyces cerevisiae plays a key role in producing bioactive biomasses for fermented foods, with its protein enolase II contributing significantly to antimicrobial BAPs that combat microbial resistance, making it a valuable source for next-generation compounds in the global market. (36).

2.6. Synthetic BAPs

After identification, peptide sequences can be produced chemically or via recombinant DNA technologies. Enzymatic hydrolysis is simple but requires complex purification and is time-consuming. Natural proteins yield low BAP content. While chemical synthesis is advanced, it lacks specificity and uses hazardous reagents. In contrast, recombinant DNA technology is environmentally friendly and achieves high yield and purity with fewer chemicals (37). Recombinant production of BAPs can be divided into two classes based on the expression of the bioactive peptide gene in a specific expression system, either in vitro or in vivo, as per several studies (38). To facilitate purification and enable mass production of the required peptide, the targeted peptide gene is connected to another well-known carrier protein gene in the in vivo expression method. For example, yeast expresses peptides called ecallantide and desirudin (39). While the in vitro expression method is not costeffective, the in vitro expression method, a cellindependent system, has the advantage of producing

the desired result quickly (40). On the other hand, peptide flexibility and effectiveness have led to a recent focus on the engineering of BAPs. In type II diabetes, for instance, engineered insulin is more important and has a longer effect than natural insulin (41).

3. Bacterial antimicrobial peptides against foodborne pathogen

Microorganisms, especially lactic acid bacteria (LAB), produce a wide range of chemical substances with antimicrobial properties (42). LAB naturally exist in various foods such as dairy products, meat products and vegetables or are used as pure kills or starters in the production of dairy products such as milk, yogurt, cottage cheese, and both hard and soft cheeses (43). Acetic acid bacteria can produce health-beneficial peptides by synthesizing short amino acid chains. Cyclic dipeptides and protein compounds are natural antimicrobials, especially antifungals, which are produced by acetic acid bacteria and increase the shelf life and health of food (44).

3.1. Cyclic dipeptides

Cyclic dipeptides, or 2,5-dioxopiperazines, are common in nature. Lactobacillus plantarum VTTE-78076 produces leucyl-L-glycyl, which inhibits the growth of Gram-negative bacteria Pantoea agglomerans and Fusarium avenaceum (45).

3.2. Bacteriocin

Bacteriocins, discovered in E. coli in 1925, are antibiotic alternatives. Their use in the food industry meets modern consumers' demand for safe, minimally processed food (46). Bacteriocins are complex synthesized proteins with low molecular weight (below 10 kilodaltons) that can be produced by ribosomes in gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria and show their bactericidal and inhibitory effects against strains

close to or distant from bacteriocin-producing strains (46). Bacteriocins can be classified into the following 4 categories according to different characteristics, including: genetic, biochemical, thermal and enzymatic stability, post-translational modifications, and antimicrobial activity:

Lantibiotics, which are small and heat-resistant peptides with post-translational modifications, which contains unusual amino acids lanthiene and methyl lanthiene in their structure (47). Lantibiotics are divided into two groups based on their structure and antimicrobial function: Subgroup A includes linear and cationic peptides with a maximum of 34 amino acids, the mechanism of antimicrobial action of this group involves the destruction of the target cell membrane. Subtype B are cyclic peptides with a maximum of 19 amino acids, which stop the vital actions of the enzymes of the target cell. The antimicrobial activity of nisin is studied as the most famous lantibiotic (46). (2) Small heat-resistant peptides (II Class) with an amphiphilic helical structure (47). (3) Larger bacteriocins with complex activity and flexible protein structure (Class III) (47). (4) Complex bacteriocins that contain fat and carbohydrate parts (47). The antimicrobial effect of bacteriocin on gram positive bacteria is much higher and it is insignificant against gram negative bacteria, the main reason of which is the presence of external lipopolysaccharide layer in the cell wall of gram negative bacteria (46).

4. Antimicrobial properties of plant-derived peptides against foodborne pathogen

A wide variety of structural and functional methods have been employed to identify and characterize plant derived BAPs with antimicrobial properties. PaDef was

identified and isolated from a library of cDNA obtained from avocado fruits grown in Mexico. The peptide bears similarities to defensin. Because PaDef exhibits antibacterial qualities against both E. Coli and S. aureus (60). Moreover, the peptides with cysteine demonstrated powerful action against intestinal pathogenic bacteria like E. coli and S. aureus and Salmonella, and did not cause harm to human cells. AMPs also belong to the 2S albumin protein family which have been discovered to exist in plants. Filamentous fungi isolated from passion fruit, such as Fusarium oxysporum, Aspergillus fumigatus, Trichoderma harzianum, and Colletotrichum gloeosporioides, were found to be effectively suppressed by Pa-AFP-1 (61). A thionin-like peptide from chili that has been isolated and described is called CaTi. There are reports that CaTi works effectively against several harmful bacteria, such as Candida albicans, F. Solani, the species S. cerevisiae and C. tropicalis (62).

fermentation than to use enzymes for hydrolysis (65). The most common protein in the world, ribulose-1,5 bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO), is the primary enzyme for photorespiration and photosynthesis in plants and other living organisms. RuBisCO is a long-term and desired resource for BAPs (63). The original hydrolysate, parts produced by hydrolyzing RuBisCO with pepsin, and the RuBisCO 407 large subunit-derived peptides ELAAAC (f454-459) and MDN (472-474) all demonstrated antimicrobial activity against gram positive (Bacillus subtilis, Micrococcus luteus, and L. innocua) and gram-negative (like E. coli) microbes (64). Undoubtedly, using microorganisms to ferment protein from various sources is a novel way to produce BAPs with a range of beneficial health effects. It is more cost-effective to purify BAPs directly from microbial

Table 1. Characteristics and applications of some bioactive peptides that have been recently used to eliminate food pathogens

Cc-AFP1, which was isolated from Carum carvi, exhibits antifungal activity against Aspergillus species (66). The bagasse peptides NLWSNEINQDMAEF and VSNCL inhibit food pathogens, namely Bacillus subtilis, Burkholderia cepacia, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, according to shotgun proteomics investigation (67).

5. Synthetic antimicrobial peptides against foodborne pathogen

Food-borne pathogens are effectively combated by the antimicrobial peptide HX-12C. Both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria exhibit susceptibility to its potent antimicrobial activity HX-12C (68). The peptides W2R3V10R11 and K2W3V10R11 have demonstrated promise against food-pathogens and as antibiotic substitutes (69).

The structure–function correlation of antimicrobial peptides P4 and P5 was examined. Strong antibacterial and antifungal activity was demonstrated by peptides P4 and P5. Specifically, P4 and P5 exhibited robust activity against Pseudomonas aeruginosa (70). Using Solanum granuloso leprosum, the antimicrobial dipeptide IQ, which is composed of N-α- [Carbobenzyloxy]-Ile-Gln (Z-IQ), is synthesized enzymatically. In tests against different bacterial strains, IQ dipeptide revealed MIC values (71).

Membrane penetration assays, electron microscopy, and flow cytometry all support the molecular mechanism of RI12[K3W], an antibacterial dodecapeptide from pig containing Trp, binding to LPS components, increasing membrane permeability, and inflicting membrane damage (72). One study involving the construction of peptides with thermostability, pH stability, and antibacterial activity against multiple

bacterial foodborne pathogens found that the two distinct functions attributed to different peptide segments—membrane destabilization and intracellular trypsin inhibition—were responsible for the antibacterial effects of peptide (73). Peptide derivatives (A5, A6, A9, and A11) were synthesized from acidocin J1132β by truncating and substituting amino acids. A11 exhibited the best safety profile and the highest antimicrobial activity among them, particularly against S. Typhimurium. In environments mimicking negative charges, A11 tended to form an α-helix structure. The inhibitory effects of A11 were sustained at 100°C. The monophasic variant strains of S. Typhimurium and drug-resistant strains were inhibited by it (74). Through a series of enzymatic hydrolysis processes, bioactive peptides were engineered from mackerel byproducts and demonstrated antibacterial activity against gramnegative pathogens such as E. coli, P. aeruginosa, and K. pneumoniae. Additionally, they demonstrated antibacterial activity against S. aureus and other grampositive bacteria (75).

6. Mechanism

Interference with the synthesis of proteins and nucleic acids, disruption of bacterial metabolism, interaction with particular intracellular targets, disruption of the formation of cellular building blocks (e.g. cellular wall), and suppression of enzyme function are some of the methods used to suppress foodborne pathogens (76). Peptide interactions with bacterial cells begin with the attraction to the cell membrane. Positively charged amino acids in the peptide create electrostatic interactions with the negatively charged components of the bacterial membrane, such as phosphate groups in

lipopolysaccharides and lipoteichoic acids. Specific receptors are not necessary for these interactions to occur (76). Peptides enter gram-negative bacteria via hydrophobic interactions with the outer membrane, adopting conformations that form peptide-membrane complexes. This disrupts the membrane, facilitating further peptide entry. Transport occurs through "selfpromoted capitation," allowing peptides to reach the membrane's interfacial region, driven by hydrophobic interactions and electrostatic forces. The abundance of negatively charged lipids in bacterial cytoplasmic membranes is crucial for the selectivity of antimicrobial peptides, unlike in eukaryotic cells, which mainly have uncharged lipids (76). Nevertheless, different models have been put forth and there is still disagreement regarding the biomolecular events that take place at the membrane surface (e.g. "carpet," "toroidal pore," and "barrel-strip") (77). These models indicate an intermediary event leading to actions like membrane translocation, micellization, or channel formation. Thus, peptides can penetrate bacterial membranes without causing damage. Antibacterial peptides work via two mechanisms: at intracellular sites or directly interacting with the membrane. Research shows some peptides disrupt crucial intracellular targets by crossing the membrane intact (76). Most research and theories remain untested in vivo. For example, using physicochemical models may overestimate membranepermeating activity by ignoring important physiological factors like pH or salt. Thus, various test parameters must be considered to fully understand peptide and bacterial membrane interactions (76, 78). The amphipathic nature of antimicrobial peptides is key in membrane permeation. Peptides often adopt a linear shape upon interacting with membranes due to

hydrophilic and hydrophobic group interactions. Thus, research should be conducted under conditions that accurately reflect in vivo systems (78). Peptide molecules with hydrophobic segments interact with bacterial membranes, while hydrophilic parts face the pore lumen or phospholipid heads. The pore formation by α-helical antimicrobial peptides is well understood, but the mechanisms of β-sheet peptides in pore formation remain unclear (76).

The structure of lipid bilayers is disrupted by the interaction of antimicrobial peptides with bacterial membranes, which in turn causes cell lysis. This is in contrast to the fairly slow enzyme inhibition process that underlies the traditional antibiotics' modes of action (79). Antimicrobial peptides are thought to function by combining hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions, according to various models (80). Nevertheless, the exact mechanisms through which peptides penetrate bacterial membranes and exert their antimicrobial effects remain unclear, leading to the proposal of several models to explain how peptides interact with lipid bilayers (78). For α-helical peptides, two basic models have been proposed: "carpet-like" and barrel-stave (81).

The Shai-Matsuzaki-Huang (SMH) model explains how cholesterol molecules at the phospholipid membranes influence the biological activity of peptides and is another model that characterizes the method of interaction for most antimicrobial peptides (82). In recent times, there has been evidence to suggest that the "detergent" or "detergent-like" model of action is more comprehensive, encompassing a multitude of antimicrobial peptides (78). Few peptides utilize the barrel-forming mechanism. Helical peptides bind to the

lipid bilayer, with cationic domains facing the phosphate groups. At a certain concentration, peptide monomers aggregate and insert perpendicularly into the lipid bilayer, creating linear pores. The hydrophobic regions attach to nonpolar membrane areas, while hydrophilic regions expose channels for ions and small molecules to pass through (81). The quantity of monomeric peptide at the membrane can have a significant impact on pore size (83). The "carpetlike" model explains how peptide molecules align parallel to the lipid bilayer, forming a covering. Hydrophilic regions attach to phospholipid phosphate groups, while hydrophobic side chains enter the bilayer. This rearrangement of lipids alters membrane curvature. Increased peptide amounts correlate with deformation, leading to membrane breakdown (80). The barrel-stave model suggests smaller peptides can't form pores, while the SMH model applies to most antimicrobial peptides.

Pore formation and surface interactions together explain the SMH model, as peptides displace phospholipids similarly to the "carpet-like" model, leading to membrane disorder (84). Peptide molecules may be able to enter the target cell in certain instances due to the lipid bilayer structure is partially disrupted by this disorder. As a result, the SMH model suggests various ways that antimicrobial peptides work, i.e. interference with intracellular targets or disruption of the lipid bilayer (85). The detergent model, based on peptide intercalation in lipid bilayers, better explains amphipathic molecule behavior. Detergent interactions with lipid membranes create a complex micellar state above the critical micelle concentration (CMC). Antimicrobial peptides can also form oligomeric

structures, interacting with lipid membranes differently than their monomers (78). These peptide oligomers can still form micelle aggregations on lipid bilayers after interacting with the membrane and adsorbing phospholipids. Antimicrobial peptides can destabilize cell membranes, while detergents do not affect membrane structures at low concentrations, below their CMC (86). The molecule's aggregation is key to the detergent model, and each peptide must be assessed individually with regard to factors like charge density and hydrophobicity. For example, different peptides show dimeric or tetrameric aggregation states (87), and δ-lisin exhibits larger states of aggregation (88). Aggregation states depend on specific concentration and pH levels. Peptide oligomers form in lipid bilayer domains via the detergent mechanism, differing from aggregates that form on the membrane surface. Recent studies show that aggregate formation within the phospholipid bilayer is thermodynamically favorable compared to in solution, enhancing understanding of detergent mechanisms (89). According to Yang et al. (90), Antimicrobial peptides contain cationic amino acids with a net positive charge (+2 to +9) and abundant hydrophobic amino acids such as phenylalanine and leucine. This positive charge helps them accumulate on negatively charged phospholipids in pathogen membranes, leading to the formation of holes or channels (91). It is therefore possible to hypothesize that raising antimicrobial peptides' net positive charge will improve the peptidemicrobiome electrostatic interaction, which will raise the antimicrobial activity (92). As the strongest bidentate hydrogen bonding amino acid, Arginine (Arg), one of the three cationic amino acids, helps

Figure 1. antipathogen activity mechanism of BAPs

antibacterial peptides enter microbial membranes, and enhances their ability to disrupt membranes. Nonetheless it has a slightly lower isoelectric point than Arg, lysine (Lys) is more biocompatible (93). by substituting arginine (Arg) for isoleucine (Ile) and valine (Val), Michailidis et al. (94) showed that βdefensin-8 peptides were more effective against E. coli, but replacing Val and Ile with negatively charged aspartic acid reduced activity. The antimicrobial properties of peptides relate to their hydrophobicity, defined by the content of hydrophobic amino acids. Tan et al. analyzed numerous antimicrobial peptides, and Peng Tan found that 40 to 60 percent of all amino acids are hydrophobic. (93).

4. Conclusion

It is crucial to comprehend the mechanisms underlying BAPs activity in order to facilitate successful industry applications. With a deeper understanding of both macro- and micro-level aspects, researchers can develop more effective methods to investigate and assess the potential of BAPs. While still in its early stages, the data presented here may help in selecting molecules based on their intended applications, designing more effective peptides from scratch, or even overcoming target microorganisms' resistance mechanisms. Numerous studies on synthetic BAPs are currently underway, and as the mechanisms are better understood, these engineered peptides will soon be optimized. Although the binding, action, and resistance mechanisms of BAPs remain largely unproven and unclear, ongoing research and new methodologies will

soon enable the precise identification of BAPs' mechanisms of action. Given that many of these molecules interact with one another and that the antimicrobial effect is influenced by a number of other complementary factors, it is crucial to remember that knowledge of the invitro mechanisms serves as the foundation for the application of the isolated BAPs. Within BAPs studies, the phenomenon of synergistic effects between different peptides is peptides has gained increasing attention in BAP research and holds considerable promise. In summary, BAPs' molecular mechanisms of action are frequently disregarded despite their importance. It is necessary to conduct fundamental research on the mechanisms that underlie the action of BAPs.

Funding

The authors declare that no financial support was received for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article.

Authorship contribution

MN: Original writing, Main text preparation, Supervising. NSh: Conceptualization, Finalizing

Declaration of competing interest

The authors do not have any competing interests to report.

Data availability

Data are available in the manuscript and will be available on demand.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the Tehran University of Medical Sciences in publishing this review.

References

- 1. Cunha SA, Pintado ME. Bioactive peptides derived from marine sources: Biological and functional properties. Trend Food Sci Technol. 2022; 119:348-370.
- 2. Caniça M, Manageiro V, Abriouel H, Moran-Gilad J, Franz CM. Antibiotic resistance in foodborne bacteria. Trend Food Sci Technol. 2019; 84:41-44.
- 3. Venegas‐Ortega MG, Flores‐Gallegos AC, Martínez‐ Hernández JL, Aguilar CN, Nevárez‐Moorillón GV. Production of bioactive peptides from lactic acid bacteria: a sustainable approach for healthier foods. Comprehen Rev Food Sci Food Safe. 2019; 18(4):1039-1051.
- 4. Toldrá F, Reig M, Aristoy M-C, Mora L. Generation of bioactive peptides during food processing. Food chemistry. 2018; 267:395-404.
- 5. Hu Y-y, Xiao S, Zhou G-c, Chen X, Wang B, Wang J-h. Bioactive peptides in dry-cured ham: A comprehensive review of preparation methods, metabolic stability, safety, health benefits, and regulatory frameworks. Food Res Int. 2024:114367.
- 6. Nawrot R, Barylski J, Nowicki G, Broniarczyk J, Buchwald W, Goździcka-Józefiak A. Plant antimicrobial peptides. Folia Microbiol. 2014; 59:181-196.
- 7. Wang Q, Yang Y, Dong X, Wang H, Ding L, Wang X. Design of a Novel Lysine Isopeptide 1018KI11 with Potent Antimicrobial Activity as a Safe and Stable Food Preservative Candidate. J Agric Food Chem. 2024;72(14):7894-905
- 8. Dini I, De Biasi M-G, Mancusi A. An overview of the potentialities of antimicrobial peptides derived from natural sources. Antibiotics. 2022;11(11):1483.
- 9. Zaky AA, Simal-Gandara J, Eun J-B, Shim J-H, Abd El-Aty A. Bioactivities, applications, safety, and health benefits of bioactive peptides from food and by-products: A review. Front Nutr. 2022; 8:815640.
- 10. Hu J, Li S, Miao M, Li F. Characterization of the antibacterial and opsonic functions of the antimicrobial

peptide LvCrustinVI from Litopenaeus vannamei. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 2024:154(105):146.

- 11. Ano Y, Nakayama H. Preventive effects of dairy products on dementia and the underlying mechanisms. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2018; 19(7):1927.
- 12. Grudlewska-Buda K, Bauza-Kaszewska J, Wiktorczyk-Kapischke N, Budzyńska A, Gospodarek-Komkowska E, Skowron K. Antibiotic resistance in selected emerging bacterial foodborne pathogens—An issue of concern? Antibiotics. 2023; 12(5):880.
- 13. Singh BP, Bangar SP, Alblooshi M, Ajayi FF, Mudgil P, Maqsood S. Plant-derived proteins as a sustainable source of bioactive peptides: recent research updates on emerging production methods, bioactivities, and potential application. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 2023; 63(28):9539-60.
- 14. Kumar L, Sehrawat R, Kong Y. Oat proteins: A perspective on functional properties. Lwt. 2021; 152(112):307.
- 15. Eugenio F, Van Milgen J, Duperray J, Sergheraert R, Le Floc'h N. Feeding intact proteins, peptides, or free amino acids to monogastric farm animals. Amino Acids. 2022; 54(2):157-168.
- 16. Arise RO, Idi JJ, Mic-Braimoh IM, Korode E, Ahmed RN, Osemwegie O. In vitro Angiotesin-1-converting enzyme, α-amylase and α-glucosidase inhibitory and antioxidant activities of Luffa cylindrical (L.) M. Roem seed protein hydrolysate. Heliyon. 2019;5(5):1634.
- 17. Albenzio M, Santillo A, Caroprese M, Della Malva A, Marino R. Bioactive peptides in animal food products. Foods. 2017; 6(5):35.
- 18. Mir SA, Naseem Z, Rizwan D, Masoodi FA. Meat. Bioactive Peptides from Food: CRC Press; 2022. p. 55- 74.
- 19. Pathak R. Bioactive Peptides and Their Application. Bioactive Peptides: CRC Press; 2021. p. 447-467.
- 20. Redwan EM, Uversky VN. Latent potentials of camel's milk. Eur. Food Res. Technol. 2022; 248(4):1161-1162.
- 21. Quah Y, Tong S-R, Bojarska J, Giller K, Tan S-A, Ziora ZM, et al. Bioactive peptide discovery from edible insects for potential applications in human health and agriculture. Molecules. 2023; 28 (3):1233.
- 22. Bhardwaj G, Singh B. Potential MIC of bioactive peptides from fermented bovine milk to inhibit bacterial pathogens. Int J Adv Multidiscip Res. 2016;3(10):30-36.
- 23. Samlesh Kumari SK, Shilpa Vij SV. Effect of bioactive peptides derived from fermented whey based drink against food borne pathogens. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci. 2015:4(3):936-941
- 24. Rezvan P, Elahesadat H. Downstream processing of therapeutic bioactive peptide. Enzymes Beyond Traditional Applications in Dairy Science and Technology: Elsevier; 2023. p. 313-330.
- 25. Priya S. Therapeutic perspectives of food bioactive peptides: a mini review. Protein Pept Lett. 2019; 26(9):664-75.
- 26. Lopes BS, Hanafiah A, Nachimuthu R, Muthupandian S, Md Nesran ZN, Patil S. The role of antimicrobial peptides as antimicrobial and antibiofilm agents in tackling the silent pandemic of antimicrobial resistance. Molecules. 2022; 27(9):2995.
- 27. Montesinos E. Functional peptides for plant disease control. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 2023; 61:301-324.
- 28. Bun Ng T, Chi Fai Cheung R, Ho Wong J, Juan Ye X. Antimicrobial activity of defensins and defensin-like peptides with special emphasis on those from fungi and invertebrate animals. Curr. Protein Pept. Sci. 2013; 14(6):515-531.
- 29. Tajbakhsh M, Karimi A, Fallah F, Akhavan M. Overview of ribosomal and non-ribosomal antimicrobial peptides produced by Gram positive bacteria. Cell. Mol. Biol. 2017; 63(10):20-32.
- 30. Heilbronner S, Krismer B, Brötz-Oesterhelt H, Peschel A. The microbiome-shaping roles of bacteriocins. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2021; 19(11):726-39.
- 31. Frazzoli C, Grasso G, Husaini DC, Ajibo DN, Orish FC, Orisakwe OE. Immune system and epidemics: the role of African indigenous bioactive substances. Nutrients. 2023; 15(2):273.
- 32. Redha AA, Valizadenia H, Siddiqui SA, Maqsood S. A state-of-art review on camel milk proteins as an emerging source of bioactive peptides with diverse nutraceutical properties. Food Chem. 2022; 373:131444.
- 33. Lakhlifi T, El Oirdi S, Maroui I, Zouhair R, Belhaj A. Probiotic properties and safety aspect of three antifungal lactic acid bacteria strains isolated from wheat and camel milk. Biologia. 2023; 78(4):1129-1139.
- 34. Arulrajah B, Muhialdin BJ, Zarei M, Hasan H, Saari N. Lacto-fermented Kenaf (Hibiscus cannabinus L.) seed protein as a source of bioactive peptides and their applications as natural preservatives. Food Control. 2020; 110:106969.
- 35. Roozbahani F, Ahanjan M, Moshiri M, Abediankenari S, Goli HR, Kakavan M, Gholami M. Characterization of Antimicrobial Activities of Bifidobacterium lactis BB-12 and Their Inhibitory Effect Against Some Foodborne Pathogens. Foodborne Pathog. Dis. 2024:6(4):370-377.
- 36. Thyab Gddoa Al-sahlany S, Altemimi AB, Al-Manhel AJA, Niamah AK, Lakhssassi N, Ibrahim SA. Purification of bioactive peptide with antimicrobial properties produced by Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Foods. 2020; 9(3):324.
- 37. Wen Q, Zhang L, Zhao F, Chen Y, Su Y, Zhang X, et al. Production technology and functionality of bioactive peptides. Curr. Pharm. Des. 2023; 29(9):652-674.
- 38. Papagianni M. Ribosomally synthesized peptides with antimicrobial properties: biosynthesis, structure, function, and applications. Biotechnol. Adv. 2003;21(6):465-499.
- 39. Anand U, Bandyopadhyay A, Jha NK, Pérez de la Lastra JM, Dey A. Translational aspect in peptide drug discovery and development: An emerging therapeutic candidate. Biofactors. 2023; 49(2):251-269.
- 40. Ullman CG, Frigotto L, Cooley RN. In vitro methods for peptide display and their applications. Brief. Funct. Genom. 2011; 10(3):125-134.
- 41. Vajo Z, Duckworth WC. Genetically engineered insulin analogs: diabetes in the new millenium. Pharmacol. Rev. 2000; 52(1):1-10.
- 42. de Souza EL, de Oliveira KÁ, de Oliveira ME. Influence of lactic acid bacteria metabolites on physical and chemical food properties. Curr. Opin. Food Sci. 2023; 49:100981.
- 43. Ibrahim SA, Ayivi RD, Zimmerman T, Siddiqui SA, Altemimi AB, Fidan H, et al. Lactic acid bacteria as antimicrobial agents: Food safety and microbial food spoilage prevention. Foods. 2021;10(12):3131.
- 44. Saadouli I, Zendah El Euch I, Trabelsi E, Mosbah A, Redissi A, Ferjani R, et al. Isolation, characterization and chemical synthesis of large spectrum antimicrobial cyclic dipeptide (L-leu-L-pro) from Streptomyces misionensis V16R3Y1 bacteria extracts. A novel 1H NMR metabolomic approach. Antibiotics. 2020;9(5):270.
- 45. Amiri S, Mokarram RR, Khiabani MS, Bari MR, Alizadeh M. Optimization of food-grade medium for coproduction of bioactive substances by Lactobacillus acidophilus LA-5 for explaining pharmabiotic mechanisms of probiotic. J Food Sci. Technol. 2021; 58:1-12.
- 46. Christ K, Wiedemann I, Bakowsky U, Sahl H-G, Bendas G. The role of lipid II in membrane binding of and pore formation by nisin analyzed by two combined biosensor techniques. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr. 2007; 1768(3):694-704.
- 47. Peirano G, van der Bij AK, Freeman JL, Poirel L, Nordmann P, Costello M, et al. Characteristics of

Escherichia coli sequence type 131 isolates that produce extended-spectrum β-lactamases: Global distribution of the H 30-Rx sublineage. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2014; 58(7):3762-3767.

- 48. Rouhi A, Yousefi Y, Falah F, Azghandi M, Behbahani BA, Tabatabaei-Yazdi F, Vasiee A. Exploring the potential of melittin peptide: Expression, purification, anti-pathogenic properties, and promising applications as a bio-preservative for beef slices. LWT. 2024; 199:116083.
- 49. Wei X, Hu Y, Sun C, Wu S. Characterization of a Novel Antimicrobial Peptide Bacipeptin against Foodborne Pathogens. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chem. 2024; 72(10):5283-92.
- 50. Abdou AM, Awad DA. Lysozyme Peptides as a Novel Nutra-Preservative to Control Some Food Poisoning and Food Spoilage Microorganisms. Probiotics & Antimicro. Prot. 2024:1-11.
- 51. Kim D, Kim J, Kim M. Potential of antimicrobial peptidefused endolysin LysC02 as therapeutics for infections and disinfectants for food contact surfaces to control Cronobacter sakazakii. Food Control. 2024; 157:110190.
- 52. Zhang M, Li S, Zhao J, Shuang Q, Xia Y, Zhang F. A novel endogenous antimicrobial peptide MP-4 derived from koumiss of Inner Mongolia by peptidomics, and effects on Staphylococcus aureus. LWT. 2024; 191:115595.
- 53. Guo L, Stoffels K, Broos J, Kuipers OP. Altering Specificity and Enhancing Stability of the Antimicrobial Peptides Nisin and Rombocin through Dehydrated Amino Acid Residue Engineering. Peptides. 2024; 174:171152.
- 54. Kwon RS, Lee GY, Lee S, Song J. Antimicrobial properties of tomato juice and peptides against typhoidal Salmonella. Microbiol. Spectr. 2024; 12(3):e03102-23.
- 55. Bhat RAH, Khangembam VC, Pant V, Tandel RS, Pandey PK, Thakuria D. Antibacterial activity of a short

de novo designed peptide against fish bacterial pathogens. Amino Acids. 2024; 56(1):28.

- 56. Karami Z, Muangnapoh C, Suthienkul O, Suriyarak S, Duangmal K. Exploring novel peptides in adzuki bean and mung bean hydrolysates with potent antibacterial activity. Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2024:59(7): 4829- 4840.
- 57. Zhang X, Ma P, Ismail BB, Yang Z, Zou Z, Suo Y, et al. Chickpea-derived modified antimicrobial peptides KTA and KTR inactivate Staphylococcus aureus via disrupting cell membrane and interfering with peptidoglycan synthesis. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2024;72(5):2727-2740.
- 58. Sevim B, GÜNEŞ ALTUNTAŞ E. Molecular dynamic study on the structure and thermal stability of mutant pediocin PA-1 peptides engineered with cysteine substitutions. Probiotics Antimicrob. Proteins. 2024:1- 15.
- 59. Panthi U, McCallum B, Kovalchuk I, Rampitsch C, Badea A, Yao Z, Bilichak A. Foliar application of plantderived peptides decreases the severity of leaf rust (Puccinia triticina) infection in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). J. Genet. Eng. Biotechnol. 2024; 22(1):100357.
- 60. Guzmán-Rodríguez JJ, López-Gómez R, Salgado-Garciglia R, Ochoa-Zarzosa A, López-Meza JE. The defensin from avocado (Persea americana var. drymifolia) PaDef induces apoptosis in the human breast cancer cell line MCF-7. Biomed. Pharmacother. 2016; 82:620-627.
- 61. Kumari D, Chaudhary P, Janmeda P. Genetic engineering and designed promising preservative in food products. Nontherm. Food Process. Saf. Preserv. 2024:299-324.
- 62. Aguieiras MC, Resende LM, Souza TA, Nagano CS, Chaves RP, Taveira GB, et al. Potent anti-Candida fraction isolated from Capsicum chinense fruits contains an antimicrobial peptide that is similar to plant defensin and is able to inhibit the activity of different α -amylase

enzymes. Probiotics & Antimicro. Prot. 2021; 13(3):862- 72.

- 63. Grácio M, Oliveira S, Lima A, Ferreira RB. RuBisCO as a protein source for potential food applications: A review. Food Chem. 2023: 419:135993.
- 64. Kobbi S, Balti R, Bougatef A, Le Flem G, Firdaous L, Bigan M, et al. Antibacterial activity of novel peptides isolated from protein hydrolysates of RuBisCO purified from green juice alfalfa. J. Funct Foods. 2015; 18:703-13.
- 65. Cruz-Casas DE, Aguilar CN, Ascacio-Valdés JA, Rodríguez-Herrera R, Chávez-González ML, Flores-Gallegos AC. Enzymatic hydrolysis and microbial fermentation: The most favorable biotechnological methods for the release of bioactive peptides. Food Chem. Mol. Sci. 2021; 3:100047.
- 66. Seyedjavadi SS, Khani S, Goudarzi M, Zare-Zardini H, Shams-Ghahfarokhi M, Jamzivar F, et al. Characterization, biological activity, and mechanism of action of a plant-based novel antifungal peptide, Cc-AFP1, isolated from Carum carvi. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 2021; 11:743346.
- 67. Ditsawanon T, Phaonakrob N, Roytrakul S. Mechanisms of antimicrobial peptides from bagasse against human pathogenic bacteria. Antibiotics. 2023; 12(3):448.
- 68. Luo X, Song Y, Cao Z, Qin Z, Dessie W, He N, et al. Evaluation of the antimicrobial activities and mechanisms of synthetic antimicrobial peptide against food-borne pathogens. Food Biosci. 2022; 49:101903.
- 69. Sun C, Gu L, Hussain MA, Chen L, Lin L, Wang H, et al. Characterization of the bioactivity and mechanism of bactenecin derivatives against food-pathogens. Front Microbiol. 2019; 10:2593.
- 70. Pandit G, Ilyas H, Ghosh S, Bidkar AP, Mohid SA, Bhunia A, et al. Insights into the mechanism of antimicrobial activity of seven-residue peptides. J. Med. Chem. 2018; 61(17):7614-7629.
- 71. Adaro M, Ibáñez ÁGS, Origone AL, Vallés D, Guzmán F, Vega A, et al. Enzymatic synthesis of new antimicrobial peptides for food purposes. Front Microbio.l 2023; 14:1153135.
- 72. Lyu Y, Yang C, Chen T, Shang L, Yang Y, Li J, et al. Characterization of an antibacterial dodecapeptide from pig as a potential food preservative and its antibacterial mechanism. Food Funct. 2020; 11(5):4090-4102.
- 73. Vishweshwaraiah YL, Acharya A, Hegde V, Prakash B. Rational design of hyperstable antibacterial peptides for food preservation. npj Sci. Food. 2021; 5(1):26.
- 74. Sengkhui S, Klubthawee N, Aunpad R. A novel designed membrane-active peptide for the control of foodborne Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. Sci. Rep. 2023; 13(1):3507.
- 75. Mirzapour-Kouhdasht A, Moosavi-Nasab M, Lee CW, Yun H, Eun JB. Structure–function engineering of novel fish gelatin-derived multifunctional peptides using highresolution peptidomics and bioinformatics. Sci. Rep. 2021; 11(1):7401.
- 76. Jenssen H, Hamill P, Hancock RE. Peptide antimicrobial agents. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2006; 19(3):491-511.
- 77. Papo N, Shai Y. Host defense peptides as new weapons in cancer treatment. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2005; 62:784-790.
- 78. Bechinger B, Lohner K. Detergent-like actions of linear amphipathic cationic antimicrobial peptides. Biochim Biophys Acta Biomembr. 2006; 1758(9):1529-1539.
- 79. Zasloff M. Antimicrobial peptides in health and disease. Mass Medical Soc; 2002. p. 1199-1200.
- 80. Sanderson JM. Peptide–lipid interactions: Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry is Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005; 3(2):201-212.
- 81. Shai Y. Mode of action of membrane active antimicrobial peptides. Pept Sci. 2002; 66(4):236-48.
- 82. Zasloff M. Antimicrobial peptides of multicellular organisms. Nature. 2002; 415(6870):389-395.
- 83. Pukala TL, Bowie JH, Maselli VM, Musgrave IF, Tyler MJ. Host-defense peptides from the glandular secretions of amphibians: structure and activity. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2006; 23(3):368-393.
- 84. Huang HW. Molecular mechanism of antimicrobial peptides: Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA Biomembr. 2006; 1758(9):1292-1302.
- 85. Wang T, Zhang J, Shen J-H, Jin Y, Lee W-H, Zhang Y. Maximins S, a novel group of antimicrobial peptides from toad Bombina maxima. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2005; 327(3):945-951.
- 86. Madden T, Cullis P. Stabilization of bilayer structure for unsaturated phosphatidylethanolamines by detergents. Biochim Biophys Acta Biomembr. 1982; 684(1):149-153.
- 87. Terwilliger TC, Eisenberg D. The structure of melittin. I. Structure determination and partial refinement. J. Biol. Chem. 1982; 257(11):6010-5.
- 88. Fitton JE. Physicochemical studies on delta haemolysin, a staphylococcal cytolytic polypeptide. FEBS Lett. 1981; 130(2):257-60.
- 89. Babakhani A, Gorfe AA, Kim JE, McCammon JA. Thermodynamics of peptide insertion and aggregation in a lipid bilayer. J Phys Chem B. 2008; 112(34): 10528-34.
- 90. Yang FJ, Xu C, Huang MC, Qian Y, Cai X-X, Xuan C, et al. Molecular characteristics and structure–activity relationships of food-derived bioactive peptides. J Integr Agric. 2021; 20(9):2313-32.
- 91. Valdez-Miramontes C, De Haro-Acosta J, Aréchiga-Flores C, Verdiguel-Fernández L, Rivas-Santiago B. Antimicrobial peptides in domestic animals and their applications in veterinary medicine. Peptides. 2021; 142:170576.
- 92. Liscano Y, Salamanca CH, Vargas L, Cantor S, Laverde-Rojas V, Oñate-Garzón J. Increases in hydrophilicity and charge on the polar face of alyteserin 1c helix change its selectivity towards gram-positive bacteria. Antibiotics. 2019; 8(4):238.
- 93. Tan P, Fu H, Ma X. Design, optimization, and nanotechnology of antimicrobial peptides: From exploration to applications. Nano Today. 2021; 39:101229.
- 94. Michailidis G, Avdi M, Argiriou A. Transcriptional profiling of antimicrobial peptides avian β-defensins in the chicken ovary during sexual maturation and in response to Salmonella enteritidis infection Res Vet Sci. 2012; 92(1):60-5.